Phylogeography of moose in western North America
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Subspecies designations within temperate species’ ranges often reflect populations that were isolated by past continental glaciation, and glacial vicariance is believed to be a primary mechanism behind the diversification of several subspecies of North American cervids. We used genetics and the fossil record to study the phylogeography of three moose subspecies (Alces alces andersoni, A. a. gigas, and A. a. shirasi) in western North America. We sequenced the complete mitochondrial genome (16,341 base pairs; n = 60 moose) and genotyped 13 nuclear microsatellites (n = 253) to evaluate genetic variation among moose samples. We also reviewed the fossil record for detections of all North American cervids to comparatively assess the evidence for the existence of a southern refugial population of moose corresponding to A. a. shirasi during the last glacial maximum of the Pleistocene. Analysis of mtDNA molecular variance did not support distinct clades of moose corresponding to currently recognized subspecies, and mitogenomic haplotype phylogenies did not consistently distinguish individuals according to subspecies groupings. Analysis of population structure using microsatellite loci showed support for two to five clusters of moose, including the consistent distinction of a southern group of moose within the range of A. a. shirasi. We hypothesize that these microsatellite results reflect recent, not deep, divergence and may be confounded by a significant effect of geographic distance on gene flow across the region. Review of the fossil record showed no evidence of moose south of the Wisconsin ice age glaciers ≥ 15,000 years ago. We encourage the integration of our results with complementary analyses of phenotype data, such as morphometrics, originally used to delineate moose subspecies, for further evaluation of subspecies designations for North American moose.
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In North America, contemporary patterns of differentiation within many northern species include the underlying signature of glacial cycles that occurred during the Pleistocene (Shafer et al. 2010). During the last glacial maximum (LGM; 19,000–26,500 years ago), the Laurentide and Cordilleran ice sheets covered much of northern North America (Yokoyama...
et al. 2000; Clark et al. 2009). This forced species into ice-free refugia, including Beringia and portions of the contiguous United States (Shafer et al. 2010). The current interglacial period began 10,000–15,000 years ago and with the melting of the ice came recolonization of the formerly ice-covered land and, in some cases, reconnection of previously isolated populations (Dyke 2004). Contiguous contemporary distributions of species may obscure separation during the Pleistocene, but closer attention to intra-species differentiation (e.g., subspecies) can reflect past glacial vicariance. As such, researchers have used both genetic approaches and the fossil record for phylogeographic reconstruction of intraspecific evolution.

Intra-species taxonomy, such as the designation of subspecies, has been enriched through the use of molecular tools (Wilson et al. 1985; Avise et al. 1987). Genetics-based phylogeographic studies of North American biota have been used to assess subspecies assemblages across many mammalian taxa, including the red fox (Vulpes vulpes—Aubry et al. 2009), black bear (Ursus americanus—Puckett et al. 2015), and Gunnison’s prairie dog (Cynomys gunnisoni—Sackett et al. 2015), among others. Also, with the advent of genetic tools have come efforts to improve the rigor and consistency with which subspecies designations are determined and evaluated. Fossil records have also been used to test hypotheses concerning glacial refugia across taxa. Typically, such studies use the distribution of fossils dated to a particular time period (e.g., the LGM) to identify refugial populations and locales when ice sheets dominated much of the landscape (Sommer and Nadachowski 2006; Provan and Bennett 2008). More recently, fossil data have served as an additional line of evidence to complement genetic analyses of species evolution (Hewitt 2000; Provan and Bennett 2008).

Large herbivores, such as those in the family Cervidae, have been described as model species for studying glacial vicariance and post-glacial recolonization given the range restrictions they faced during the Pleistocene and their subsequent ability to quickly recolonize (Latch et al. 2009). In temperate North America, there are four genera of extant cervid species, three of which contain a single species (moose [Alces], elk [Cervus], and caribou [Rangifer]), while the fourth (Odocoileus) includes two species (mule deer [O. hemionus] and white-tailed deer [O. virginianus]). All five extant North American cervid species are further divided into subspecies, with strong phylogeographic support in some cases. For example, the distinction between woodland caribou (R. t. caribou) and multiple subspecies of barren-ground caribou (R. t. groenlandicus, R. t. granti) was explained by divergence within distinct glacial refugia in Beringia (barren-ground) and south of the ice sheet (woodland—Weckworth et al. 2012). This was complicated by evidence that R. t. caribou may have been further isolated in multiple refugia and diverged, but not in a manner corresponding to existing subspecies designations (Klüttsch et al. 2012). In contrast, two other caribou subspecies, R. t. groenlandicus and R. t. granti, may not be genetically distinct (Weckworth et al. 2012). Likewise, morphological and genetic differences indicate a history of glacial separation that distinguishes the subspecies labeled as black-tailed deer (O. h. sitkensis and O. h. columbianus) from 11 different subspecies collectively labeled as mule deer. However, genetic comparisons are less supportive of distinctions among the 11 mule deer subspecies themselves (Latch et al. 2014).

Four subspecies of moose (Alces alces) have been described from North America, with reported differences in geographic distribution, pelage coloration, and cranial measurements (Peterson 1952). However, Geist (1998) indicated that morphological variation in North American moose was insufficient for subspecific designations. Furthermore, analyses of moose samples from across their North American distribution were shown to have identical mitochondrial DNA (hereafter mtDNA) haplotypes through analysis of restriction fragment length polymorphisms (Cronin 1992) and the cytochrome-b gene (Hundertmark et al. 2002). Analysis of major histocompatibility complex genes also documented low diversity among North American moose (Mikko and Andersson 1995). However, analysis of portions of the more variable mtDNA control region did reveal genetic differences, with some adherence to existing subspecies’ geographical distributions (Mikko and Andersson 1995; Hundertmark et al. 2003). Although some authors have proposed that moose occurred in multiple North American refugia during Pleistocene glaciations (Peterson 1955; Kelsall and Telfer 1974), others using follow-up genetic analyses have rejected this notion and instead hypothesized that moose rapidly colonized most of post-glacial North America from Beringia (~15,000 years ago—Cronin 1992; Hundertmark et al. 2003). Consequently, the observed genetic differences in North American moose are believed to reflect patterns of isolation during and since the LGM (Hundertmark et al. 2003). Although we focus here on North American moose populations, the taxonomy of moose across their global distribution also remains a subject of debate. Herein we follow Hundertmark (2016) and treat moose as a single species (A. alces), with further differentiation at the subspecific level.

In western North America, the range of moose is characterized by a north–south gradient of subspecies assignment, from A. a. gigas in the north of Alaska and the Yukon, to A. a. andersoni in west-central Canada, ending with A. a. shirasi at the southern range edge of the US Rocky Mountains (Fig. 1). Prior study of mtDNA has revealed A. a. andersoni to exhibit the highest degree of variation, compared to A. a. gigas with little variation, and A. a. shirasi with none (Hundertmark et al. 2003). However, sampling may have played at least some role in these results, given that samples of A. a. shirasi came from just a single jurisdiction (Colorado) within the subspecies’ range. Here, we revisit genetic variation among these three subspecies using a wider suite of genomic data, and with specific attention to sampling more intensively in and around the range of A. a. shirasi. First described by Nelson (1914) from Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming, the phylogeography of A. a. shirasi in particular may be most informative to the study of glacial vicariance and moose in North America. Despite the designation of a unique moose taxon in this region and hypothesized occurrence of this subspecies of moose in a southern glacial refugium during the LGM, historical accounts consistently
Fig. 1.—Centroid locations of 26 local populations of moose in western North America sampled for genetic material during 2004–2016 with respect to putative subspecies range boundaries. Populations 1 and 2 in Colorado and southcentral Wyoming were introduced from native lineages elsewhere in Wyoming.
suggest that most of the range of *A. a. shirasi* was colonized only recently, beginning in the mid–late 1800s (Bergerud and Elliot 1986; Brimeyer and Thomas 2004; Toweill and Vecellio 2004; Wolfe et al. 2010; DeCesare et al. 2014). Thus, contrary to hypotheses that *A. a. shirasi* occurred in a southern refugium in the contiguous United States during and prior to the LGM (Peterson 1955; Kelsall and Telfer 1974), the apparent absence of moose in this southern landscape until the 19th century may suggest they are instead the result of a relatively recent range expansion from areas currently occupied by *A. a. andersoni*.

Morphological distinctions upon which the three western subspecies descriptions were based included differences in coloration, cranial morphometrics, and body size characteristics. *Alces a. gigas* are documented to be the largest specimens, rich in coloration, and with the greatest ratio of palate width to tooththrow length (Peterson 1952). The *A. a. shirasi* subspecies designation comprise individuals with smaller hooves and a lighter coloration of the ears and along the back; no differences in skull measurements were detected (Nelson 1914). Later, Hall (1934) was unable to substantiate the differences in hoof measurements, but Peterson (1952) detected a proportionately greater flaring of the nasal aperture in a sample of 15 specimens of this subspecies relative to those elsewhere in North America. *Alces a. andersoni* have been found to be intermediate between the other subspecies in terms of both coloration and cranial proportions. Since the work of Peterson (1952), no follow-up analyses of cranial measurements or other morphometric evaluation has occurred. Trophy records document *A. a. shirasi* individuals to be of smaller average antler size than moose from elsewhere in North America, but proportionally similar in antler shape (Gasaway et al. 1987). Demographic research has also shown lower fecundity (e.g., twinning rates) in *A. a. shirasi* relative to northern populations (Ruprecht et al. 2016). Across the full suite of defining characteristics of these subspecies, some seem indicative of genetic adaptation (cranial proportions—Peterson 1952), while others may instead be indicators of the environment or diet (antler size and fecundity—Mayr 1956; Boertje et al. 2007; Herfindal et al. 2014; Kangas et al. 2017). For example, antler morphology is well known to be driven largely by environmental conditions and nutrition, with low additive genetic variation due to heritability in cervids (e.g., Kruuk et al. 2002).

In this study, we combined genetic analyses of the full mitochondrial genome and 13 neutral nuclear microsatellite loci to assess phylogeography and genetic differentiation of moose in western North America with the goal of understanding the evolutionary history of moose populations in this portion of the species’ range. We also augmented our genetic analysis with data from the North American fossil record for moose and other cervids as an additional line of evidence to test whether glacial vicariance may have given rise to a distinct, southernmost subspecies of *Alces*, akin to the phylogeographic histories of other cervids.

**Materials and Methods**

**Sample collection and DNA extraction.**—We analyzed DNA from tissue and blood samples collected from dead (i.e., hunter-killed or opportunistically found) and live-captured moose during 2004–2016. Sample collection from live animals followed ASM guidelines (Sikes et al. 2016) and were approved by an institutional animal care and use committee (ACUC; Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks’ ACUC Permit # FWP12-2012 and University of Montana ACUC Permit # 059-09MHWB-122109). Samples were collected from Alaska, Alberta, British Columbia, Colorado, Montana, North Dakota, Saskatchewan, Washington, Wyoming, and the Yukon territory (Fig. 1). Yukon territory samples (UAM:Mamm:126589, UAM:Mamm:126545, and UAM:Mamm:126569) were provided by the University of Alaska Museum. The remaining samples were used destructively and not physically archived for future use. We stratified our sampling across the region to include a target of 10 individuals each within 26 local “populations” from Colorado to Alaska, which we defined according to geographic proximity, with increased sampling emphasis on populations near the hypothesized boundary between ranges of *A. a. shirasi* and *A. a. andersoni* in southern Alberta and British Columbia (Fig. 1; Table 1). In total, sampling included 255 animals from the ranges of three subspecies: *A. a. andersoni* (*N* = 116), *A. a. gigas* (*N* = 23), and *A. a. shirasi* (*N* = 116). Spatial locations of samples were mostly attributed to the centroids of wildlife management units, but precise locations were known for some samples. Genomic DNA was isolated using standard protocols for blood and tissue using the DNeasy Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA).

**Mitochondrial genome diversity.**—Genetic markers come with differences in terms of mutation rates, heredity, and neutral versus coding relationships to phenotype (Zink and Barrowclough 2008). Subspecies differentiation concerns broad-scale phylogeography over relatively long- and large-scales of time and space. We emphasized analyses of mtDNA to assess phylogeography of moose across western North America, with relevance for subspecies-level differentiation (Avise et al. 1987). Several sections of mtDNA (403–554 bp) have been studied in North American moose, each with differing results in terms of structure (Cronin 1992; Hundertmark et al. 2002, 2003). To minimize the effect of marker selection on patterns of variation found in mtDNA, we sequenced the entire mitochondrial genome (~16,500 bp—Knaus et al. 2011). Mitogenomic divergence has been recently used to study phylogeography in mammal species (killer whale, *Orcinus Orca*—Morin et al. 2010; fisher, *Martes Pennant*—Knaus et al. 2011)), and is particularly recommended when studying divergence that has occurred in evolutionarily contemporary time scales (Holocene; Knaus et al. 2011), such as is hypothesized for North American moose (Hundertmark et al. 2003).

We sequenced a spatially stratified subset of 63 samples to obtain entire mitochondrial DNA sequences (mitogenomes), which included one or more samples from 23 of the 26 local populations sampled in total. Shotgun sequencing was performed using 100 bp paired-end reads on an Illumina HiSeq 3000 operated by the Oregon State University Center for Genome Research and Bioinformatics. Sequencing reads were groomed, clipped, and assembled de novo using the
Table 1.—Sample sizes of individual moose included in analysis of full mitochondrial genomes ($N_{mtDNA}$) and nuclear microsatellite loci ($N_{microsat}$) as well as mean and effective numbers of microsatellite alleles per locus, observed heterozygosity ($H_O$) and expected heterozygosity ($H_E$) for 26 populations of moose sampled in western North America, 2004–2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subspecies, a priori</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>$N_{mtDNA}$</th>
<th>$N_{microsat}$</th>
<th>Alleles</th>
<th>Effective alleles</th>
<th>$H_O$</th>
<th>$H_E$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. a. shirasi</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CO, WY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>WY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>WY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>WA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>AB, MT</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>BC, MT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>BC, MT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. a. andersoni</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>MT, SK</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>AB</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>AB</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. a. gigas</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>YK</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>AK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>AK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

software ABysS (Simpson et al. 2009—Galaxy Version 1.9.0.0) on a local Galaxy server (Giardine et al. 2005; Goecks et al. 2010). Scaffolds were then aligned in the software Sequencher (Genecodes) to a reference mitochondrial genome (NC_020677) from a Eurasian moose that was 16,417 bp. However, as our moose were from North America, they lacked 76 bp (a single indel plus a 75 bp indel) present in the control region in Eurasian moose (Hundertmark et al. 2002) making length when aligned to the reference. We then trimmed off the last 135 bp of the control region sequence in our mitogenomes 16,341 bp in length when aligned to the reference. We then estimated the number of polymorphic sites, haplotype diversity, nucleotide diversity, and mean nucleotide differences for both the full mitogenome and the control region specifically using DnaSP (Rozas et al. 2017). We then tested for geographic partitioning among groups using a hierarchical analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA—Excoffier et al. 1992) in the software Arlequin 3.5.2.2 (Excoffier and Lischer 2010). This analysis tested a priori hypothesized groupings consistent with currently recognized subspecies, as well as alternative hypotheses that lumped different combinations of subspecies into larger groups (Table 2). An AMOVA divides total variance into variance components according to differences among groups ($\Phi_{CT}$), among populations within groups ($\Phi_{SC}$), and within populations ($\Phi_{ST}$). The most likely geographic subdivisions are significantly different from random distributions and have maximum among-group variance ($\Phi_{CT}$ values). The optimal genetic subdivisions in our evaluation of subspecies and alternative groupings will maximize the between-group variance ($\Phi_{CT}$) compared to the within-group component ($\Phi_{ST}$).

Phylogenetic relationships among mitogenome haplotypes were analyzed using Bayesian methods. We used the software jModelTest 0.1.1 (Posada 2008) to identify HKY+G as the best substitution model for moose mitogenomes based on the Bayesian Information Criterion. A Bayesian maximum clade credibility tree was created using BEAST v1.8.4 (Drummond et al. 2012) under a strict clock model, HKY+G substitution model, default optimization schedule, MCMC chain-length of 100 million, sampling every 10,000 generations and discarding the first 10% of samples. We analyzed results from BEAST in Tracer v1.7 (Rambaut et al. 2018) and all effective sample sizes (ESS) were >8,000, indicating length of MCMC was appropriate. The phylogenetic trees we estimated using the software BEAST were summarized in TreeAnnotator v1.8.4 and subsequently viewed and stylized in FigTree v1.4.3 (Rambaut 2016). The tree was rooted with a Eurasian moose mitogenome from Kazakhstan (Hassanin et al. 2012—GenBank accession NC_020677). We also estimated a minimum spanning tree haplotype network from mitogenome haplotypes using the randomized minimum spanning tree method (Paradis 2018).

Given the different rates of mutation across mtDNA genes, we partitioned out the highly variable control region for separate analysis in which mutation rates can be used to infer a
molecular clock. This partitioning resulted in a reduction of haplotypes from 37 to 22 among all moose. Phylogenetic relationships among the unique haplotypes were analyzed using both Bayesian and Maximum Likelihood methods. Analysis with jModelTest 0.1.1 identified TrN+I+G (Tamura and Nei 1993) as the best substitution model based on Bayesian Information Criterion. A Bayesian maximum clade credibility tree was calculated using the same methods and criteria as the full mitogenome phylogeny. We also created a maximum likelihood tree with the software MEGA7 (Kumar et al. 2016), both assessing relationships with 500 bootstrap replicates as well as employing the molecular clock option. For consistency with previous mtDNA control region moose phylogenetic studies (e.g., Niedziałkowska 2017) we used mutation rates of 3.14 × 10^-7 and 3.93 × 10^-7 substitutions per site per year (Bradley et al. 1996 and Burzyńska et al. 1999, respectively). Control region trees were rooted with the same Kazakhstan moose as the mitogenome phylogeny. Lastly, population expansion can promote low levels of diversity among haplotypes over large areas (Avise 2000). We used Arlequin to calculate Tajima’s D and Fu’s F_s tests of neutrality (Tajima 1989; Fu 1997), where significantly negative values may indicate recent population expansion. Fu and Li’s (1993) D* and F* statistics distinguish background selection from population growth or range expansion when compared with Fu’s F_s. If F_s is significant and D* and F* statistics are not, population growth or range expansion is supported.

**Microsatellite diversity.**—Mitochondrial DNA are typically used for broad-scale questions concerning speciation and phylogeography. However, it has also become common practice to complement such analyses with other genetic markers, such as nuclear microsatellites, which mutate at faster rates and thus can reveal more contemporary patterns of gene flow and isolation (Zink and Barrowclough 2008). With adequate sample sizes, microsatellites can detect subtle and recent genetic divergence, which is often more appropriate for objectives such as designating units for population management rather than for studying historical speciation or phylogeography. With these caveats in mind, we also assessed contemporary genetic structure using 13 microsatellite markers from nuclear DNA. Microsatellites have been commonly used to assess genetic structure among ungulate populations and species, including moose (Wilson et al. 2003, 2015). We amplified 13 microsatellites used previously on moose and other ungulates: RT5, RT9, RT24, RT30 (Wilson et al. 1997); BM203, BM2830, BM888, BM1225, BL42 (Bishop et al. 1994); FCB193 (Buchanan and Crawford 1993); MAP2C (Moore et al. 1992); and T156, T193 (Jones et al. 2002). We used program MICRO-CHECKER 2.2.3 to check for null alleles (van Oosterhout et al. 2004), and we used program GENEPOP 4.7 (Raymond and Rousset 1995) to check for linkage disequilibrium. We were successful at obtaining microsatellite genotypes for 253 of 255 samples.

We summarized samples belonging to each sampled local population according to the mean number of alleles per locus, the number of alleles per locus scaled by abundance, observed heterozygosity (H_o), and expected heterozygosity (H_e). We used the software GenAIEx, version 6.5 (Peakall and Smouse 2006), to estimate the relationship between individual genetic and Euclidean geographic distances. We used these same data to evaluate spatial autocorrelation among distance classes (bins of samples located within 50 and 200 km of each other). We next calculated group-based substructure by estimating two matrices of genetic distance (F_ST and Nei’s unbiased genetic distance among pre-defined groups—Nei 1978). We plotted the centroid of each group and calculated Euclidean distance among groups. We next compared the matrix of Euclidean distance to the two matrices of genetic distance (Nei’s unbiased estimate of genetic distance and F_ST) with a Mantel correlation. We also used F_ST population pairwise comparisons from microsatellite data to assess gene flow among sampled populations and visualized this genetic distance matrix with a multidimensional scaling (MDS) plot.

To evaluate genetic structure among the 26 a priori local groupings, we performed a principal coordinates analysis of microsatellite allele frequencies at all loci among populations using the software GenAlEx (Peakall and Smouse 2006). Next, we conducted a clustering analysis of these samples using the software Structure 2.3.2 (Pritchard et al. 2000) to identify the likely number of clusters, K, with an individual-based Bayesian algorithm. This approach assigned individuals to clusters by minimizing Hardy–Weinberg proportions and linkage disequilibrium between loci in each cluster. We assessed all values of K from 1 to 10 with 10 replicates each using 1,000,000 iterations for each run following a burn-in period of 100,000. We used an admixture model, considered allele frequencies as correlated, and excluded sampling location priors. The most supported value of K was estimated both by calculating the maximum likelihood value (ln(P(X|K))) and using the ΔK method (Evanno et al. 2005). We then plotted the population assignment probabilities for each individual across all supported values of K to explore spatial patterns of population structure.

**Comparison of the fossil record among Cervidae.**—We queried the Quaternary Faunal Mapping Project (FAUNMAP) database of documented mammal fossil occurrences for...
extant North American cervid species (*Alces alces*, *Cervus elaphus*, *Odocoileus* spp., and *Rangifer tarandus*—Graham and Lundelius 2010). We removed records with questionable species identifications and used the minimum estimated age for each sample to identify a conservative age of deposition. We then filtered the data to capture only those specimens that represented occupation during or prior to the LGM, which has been estimated to span 19,000–26,500 years ago (Clark et al. 2009). Although the LGM represents the peak of the late Wisconsin glacial expanse, estimates of the timing of the first ice-free corridors between southern and northern refugia were not until ≤ 13,000 years ago (Dyke 2004). Here, we present summary of the fossil data filtered to include only those records with minimum ages ≥ 15,000 years ago as a conservative metric of species distributions prior to ice-free connectivity among refugia. We also assessed the sensitivity of our results to this cutoff by summarizing data with alternate values from 11,000–20,000 years ago and found no differences with respect to detections of *Alces alces*. We then spatially mapped these records to characterize the locality and quantity of cervid specimens deposited during or before periods of glacial separation (Dyke 2004).

**RESULTS**

*Mitogenome diversity.*—Mitogenomic results included 132 polymorphic sites (0.81% of the total genome) and 37 distinct haplotypes (GenBank accession numbers MK644889–MK644928). Haplotype diversity was 0.951, nucleotide diversity was 0.00101, and mean nucleotide differences were 13.733. Of these 37 distinct mitogenomic haplotypes, seven were represented by multiple moose and 30 by single individuals only. Notably, one mitogenomic haplotype (no. 39; Fig. 2) was shared by 11 different individuals spanning five different jurisdictions (Colorado, Wyoming, Montana, Washington, and northeast British Columbia) and within the putative ranges of both *A. a. shirasi* and *A. a. andersoni*. Our analyses included 716 bp of the control region specifically, which included 28 (3.9%) polymorphic sites and which accounted for 21.2% of the total mtgenome variation. Control region haplotype diversity was 0.918, nucleotide diversity was 0.00721, and mean nucleotide differences were 4.864.

AMOVAs were used to evaluate the mitogenomic support for a small set of a priori groupings of moose in correspondence to subspecies distinctions. AMOVA results did not support Model A, characterizing a single distinction between moose currently within the range of *A. a. shirasi* and those sampled further north (*P* = 0.14; 5% of mitogenomic variance explained; Table 2). Model B distinguished among each of the three putative subspecies (*shirasi, andersoni, gigas*), and was statistically significant (*P* = 0.029), explaining 11% of the mitogenomic variation. However, the best model, Model C, combined *A. a. shirasi* and *A. a. andersoni* into one group but kept *A. a. gigas* as a second group and was also significant (*P* = 0.013), explaining 22% of the total mitogenomic variation (Table 2).

Mitogenomic haplotype phylogenies showed a relatively early divergence between a small sample of three individuals (from northwest British Columbia and western Yukon) and the remaining 57 individuals, including some in close spatial proximity to these three (Fig. 2). Within the larger group, some additional clades were supported, including a group of Alaska-only individuals; however, no subspecies was reciprocally monophyletic. *Alces a. shirasi* samples were not different from those of *A. a. andersoni* (Fig. 2). A minimum spanning tree haplotype network supported the same distinction of several clades from the phylogenetic tree (Supplementary Data SD1), including the distinct grouping of some samples from Alaska and others east of the Continental Divide in North Dakota, Saskatchewan, and eastern portions of Montana and Alberta, though many other individuals sampled in these same areas were not grouped similarly. A phylogenetic time tree using only control region sequences supported largely the same tree topology as that with full mitogenomes, but with some nodes compressed (Supplementary Data SD2). Estimates of divergence times from control region data indicated divergence of the clade of individuals from northwest British Columbia and western Yukon approximately 20,000–25,000 years ago and subsequent divergence of all remaining clades post-LGM < 13,000–17,000 years ago (Supplementary Data SD2). Both Tajima’s *D* (*D* = −1.52, *P* = 0.033) and Fu’s *F*₂ (*F*₂ = −7.79, *P* = 0.042) were significantly negative while *D*ₘ (*P* > 0.1) and *F*ₘ (*P* > 0.1) were not, indicating evidence of population expansion across our sampling range.

*Microsatellite diversity.*—Genetic distance among individuals was significantly correlated with Euclidean distance (*P* < 0.001; Mantel test), but relatively little individual genetic variance was explained by distance (*r²* = 0.03). However, group-based structure was also significantly explained by Euclidean distance, as measured both with Nei’s unbiased genetic distance (*P* < 0.001, *r²* = 0.33) and *F*ₘ (*P* < 0.001, *r²* = 0.19), suggesting distance explained as much as 33% of the variance in group structure. We detected positive spatial autocorrelation among individuals up to distances of 700 km.

Principal coordinates analysis using the 26 a priori populations showed that 34.2% and 19.8% of the variation (54.0% in total) could be explained by coordinates 1 and 2, respectively. Plots of populations along these coordinates showed two distinct groups separated by coordinate 1 (Fig. 3). A group of six populations on the southern edge of the distribution of *A. a. shirasi* (i.e., southern Montana, Wyoming and Colorado) were separated from all others to the north along coordinate 1. Within the northern populations, multiple additional subgroups were evident, and the clustering of these was coincident with a priori subspecies definitions, with grouping of populations of *A. a. shirasi, A. a. andersoni*, and *A. a. gigas* (Fig. 3). Bayesian clustering analysis also showed strong support for two groups, southern and northern, as evidenced by the strong peak in Δ*K* at *K* = 2 (Fig. 4A). However, gradual improvement in log probability of data provided support for a range of values of *K* = 2–5. Visual assessment of the proportion of membership (*q*) for each individual for values of
K = 2–5 showed spatial clustering of two groups, a northern and southern group (Fig. 4B), similar to that revealed by component 1 in the PCoA. Weaker support was shown for subgroups in the Yukon and Alaska, in the northern Rocky Mountains of northern Montana and southern Alberta and British Columbia, and in western British Columbia. Although the distinction between northern and southern moose at K = 2 was supported by these analyses, there remained uncertainty in the assignment probabilities of individuals to each of these groups across all populations (Fig. 4B). Lastly, an MDS plot of genetic distance (FST) among populations was generally supportive of these clustering results, indicating relatively lower gene flow among southern populations in Colorado, Wyoming, and southwest Montana and between them and other populations to the north (Supplementary Data SD3).

Comparison of the fossil record among Cervidae.—Southern refugial (i.e., ≥ 15,000 years before present) populations were detected by the presence of numerous fossil remains of Cervus, Odocoileus, and Rangifer, supporting certain subspecies
Fig. 4.—A) Plots of both the log probability of data (left y-axis) and ΔK (right y-axis) as a function of the number of putative groupings (K); and B) individual cluster probabilistic assignments (y-axis) for K = 2, 3, 4, and 5 groupings from Bayesian cluster analyses of 13 microsatellite loci for 253 moose individuals sampled across 26 sampling locations (x-axis) in western North America, 2004–2016.
distinctions that correspond to populations isolated for long periods of time by glacial ice (Supplementary Data SD4). In contrast to this evidence of southern occurrence of other cervid species, only two cases of fossil remains of moose were detected with minimum ages of 15,000 years before present. Both moose fossils came from archaeological sites in the western Yukon and were dated with minimum ages of 20,780 and 30,500 years before present.

**Discussion**

Our analyses of genetic variability and structure do not support the notion of long-standing vicariance among the three subspecies of moose in western North America. Instead, our results indicate a generally low degree of genetic diversity within the mtDNA of moose across western North America, and mitochondrial signatures were not diagnosably distinct indicators of subspecies. Our assessment of the fossil record also failed to detect evidence of moose occupation of a southern refuge during the last glacial maximum (Supplementary Data SD4). These findings contrast with those from other North American cervids, such as caribou and mule deer, for which genetic analyses (as well as our analysis of the fossil record) have supported and clarified the role of glacial vicariance in giving rise to existing subspecific distinctions (Cronin 1992; Weckworth et al. 2012).

Review of previous genetic studies of North American moose phylogeography show inference is sensitive to the particular portion of mtDNA sequenced. Some regions of mtDNA have yielded only a single haplotype continent-wide (Cronin 1992; Hundertmark et al. 2002), whereas others, including the control region, have shown modest variation (Mikko and Andersson 1995; Hundertmark et al. 2003). Here, we used whole mitochondrial genome analysis to similarly focus on the mitochondrial genetic material but provide a more complete depiction of variability. Upgrading mtDNA analyses from short regions to the full mitogenome has improved the resolution of genetic structure and taxonomy for several species, including killer whales, fisher, and speartooth sharks (Glyphis glyphis—Morin et al. 2010; Knaus et al. 2011; Feutry et al. 2014). In our case, results from the full mitogenome generally supported the conclusions of Cronin (1992) and Hundertmark et al. (2003) of a single post-Pleistocene colonization of North America by moose based on the paucity of variation and lack of a deep divergence among clades in mtDNA. Our results also upheld the control region as an area of focused variability within the mitochondrial genome, and re-analysis of our data using only the control region subset did not substantively affect results (Supplementary Data SD2).

We found no evidence of moose in a southern refugium during the LGM. Previous fossil record queries elsewhere in Eurasia have indeed shown evidence of moose occupation of several regions during the LGM, yet records of moose in such areas have been found in lower frequency than those of other mammals (Sommer and Nadachowski 2006; Niedzialkowska 2017). Despite the potential for false absences in fossil record data, the results shown here (Supplementary Data SD4) are generally in agreement with our and others’ genetic analyses for both moose and other cervid species (Cronin 1992; Weckworth et al. 2012; Latch et al. 2014).

Microsatellite data did reveal a more recent signature of isolation and genetic drift for moose in western North America (Figs. 3 and 4; Supplementary data SD3). The algorithm implemented in the software Structure for determining the appropriate number of underlying populations can be affected when there is a strong effect of isolation by distance within a species (Schwartz and McKelvey 2009). Indeed, we did see evidence for clinal patterns of genetic variation, as indicated by significant isolation by distance (IBD) tests at the levels of both individuals and groups. This effect of IBD could partially drive the consistent grouping of southernmost moose in the distribution across levels of $K$. However, this grouping can also be biogeographically explained as the result of recolonization and isolation of small populations of moose in the western US during late 19th and 20th centuries. For example, the genetic divide between southern and northern groupings is found in west-central Montana. While moose currently occupy a continuous distribution throughout western Montana (Nadeau et al. 2017), maps of moose range earlier in the 20th century show a wide swath of unoccupied habitat aligning geographically with our observed genetic discontinuity (Stevens 1971).

Moose faced near-extirpation in Montana in 1900 and numbered an estimated 300 individuals in 1910 after 10 years of protection (DeCesare et al. 2014). Populations in Idaho and Wyoming suffered similar declines and range reductions (Brimeyer and Thomas 2004; Toweill and Vecellio 2004). Subsequent protections led to expansion of moose in the 20th century, which showed an apparent pattern of recolonization from distinct northern and southern population sources (Stevens 1971). Even as recently as the 1970s, a large gap in the distribution of moose remained in west-central Montana, separating those in southern Montana, Wyoming, and Colorado, from those to the north (Stevens 1971). History would indicate that the southernmost populations of A. a. shirasi were founded from relatively few individuals after the declines of the late 1800s and experienced low gene flow with northern populations until distribution gaps were filled as these populations expanded over the past 50 years. Our results support these notions. Similarly, Bergerud and Elliot (1986) reviewed the colonization of British Columbia by moose, noting that much of the province was not occupied until 1910–1940. This recent colonization of much of British Columbia may similarly underlie the findings of relatively distinct population units, at $K = 4–5$, in our microsatellite results including portions of western and southeastern British Columbia (Fig. 4B). Overall, the contemporary population structure of moose detected with microsatellite analyses likely reflects the relatively recent (i.e., < 100–200 years before present) colonization and recolonization of animals in the western United States and Canada from a limited distribution of source populations that may have undergone significant genetic drift due to small population sizes prior to their expansion.
It has been shown that these three western North American moose subspecies can be discriminated according to antler size, with A. a. shirasi smallest, A. a. andersoni intermediate, and A. a. gigas largest (Gasaway et al. 1987). Broad-scale variation in such traits is driven by differences in genetics, environment, and age distribution among ungulate populations (Monteith et al. 2018; Quéméré et al. 2018), but disentangling the relative effects of each can be challenging (Kruuk and Hadfield 2007). Moose antler size has been shown to vary with habitat type and population density among populations in Alaska (Bowyer et al. 2002; Schmidt et al. 2007), although Bowyer et al. (2002) posited a role of genetics as well. In studies of moose in Scandinavia, Herfindal et al. (2014) found both genetic structure and environmental conditions to be drivers of north–south variation in body mass of moose in Norway, whereas Kangas et al. (2017) found that genetic structure did not explain the similar north–south spatialcline in mandible shape of moose in Finland. In a study of antler size in red deer (Cervus elaphus), Kruuk et al. (2002) found that, while antler size was heritable, genetic effects on antler size were ultimately driven by environmental covariances and the nutritional state of individual animals. Furthermore, Monteith et al. (2009) found nutritional effects manifested during gestation to strongly explain differences in body and antler growth among white-tailed deer from disparate populations. For moose in western North America, our results suggest only limited and recent genetic distinctiveness, thereby pointing towards environmental differences as the primary driver of reduced antler sizes, over genetic differences. This conclusion also is supported by the findings of Ruprecht et al. (2016) showing an increase in the fecundity of female moose with latitude that generally corresponds to that of antler size.

For the purpose of evaluating genetic versus environmental drivers of body and antler size, moose on Isle Royale may also serve as a case study with parallels to the smallest of our studied subspecies, A. a. shirasi. Moose colonized Isle Royale from mainland Ontario in the early 1900s, not long after the apparent colonization by A. a. shirasi of the US Rocky Mountains in the mid-1800s. Moose on Isle Royale are characterized by notably smaller body and antler sizes than representative populations from any subspecies in North America, including both A. a. shirasi and populations of A. a. andersoni in immediate proximity to Isle Royale on mainland Ontario (Peterson et al. 2011; Mills and Peterson 2013). Thus, this population displays evidence that reduction in mean body and antler size can occur over the relatively short time period of 100 years. While moose on Isle Royale also show reduced genetic diversity relative to mainland populations (Wilson et al. 2003; Sattler et al. 2017), reductions in body and antler sizes have been attributed to nutrient limitation on the island caused by five to 10 times higher population densities relative to the mainland population (Peterson et al. 2011; Mills and Peterson 2013). For the purposes of understanding genetic and phenotypic differences of A. a. shirasi, the Isle Royale example supports the notions that: 1) observed differences in body and antler size seen in A. a. shirasi could be caused, at least in part, by differences in environmental conditions; and 2) that such differences can manifest over relatively short (100 years) time periods.

Our phylogeographic study of moose subspecies in western North America did not show them as genetically divergent according to the mitochondrial genome. While not supportive of the subspecies distinctions akin to mtDNA for other North American cervids, these results are not definitive with regards to taxonomy. The means by which to standardize or quantify differentiation for subspecies taxonomy remains an active area of research and discussion (Patten and Remsen 2017; Patton and Conroy 2017). It is commonly held that both morphological and genetic differences should support taxonomic designations (Patton and Conroy 2017). Although mtDNA have become a standard genetic marker for such questions, patterns of differentiation from mtDNA do not always mirror those of morphology or the functional DNA upon which phenotype is based (Padial et al. 2010; Pedraza-Marrón et al. 2019). Thus, further study of subspecies designations and distributions of North American moose is warranted, ideally integrating analyses of morphology with both neutral and functional genetics (e.g., Wilting et al. 2015).

Whereas each of these North American moose subspecies appear only weakly diverged from one another, our microsatellite analyses do indicate a level of genetic distinctiveness of moose within the southern edge of this range. This raises the question of whether these populations of moose and the genetic diversity carried by them are worthy of additional conservation attention. We would generally argue against such attention, applying our results to several lines of reasoning: 1) across all 13 microsatellite loci examined, moose within this southernmost region (Colorado, Wyoming, and southern Montana) did not display any unique alleles relative to those further north, suggesting that genetic diversity in this region is only a subset of that found elsewhere in western North America; 2) such a result from microsatellite data can indicate subtle and recent divergence, such as has also been seen in moose when comparing two populations on either side of a highway (Wilson et al. 2015), and does not necessarily indicate evolutionarily significant divergence such as could be detected with mtDNA; 3) conservation of genetic uniqueness due to isolation and drift, such as is likely in this case, may be at the detriment of the species as whole (sensu Weeks et al. 2016); and 4) moose currently occupy a wide swath of continuous range across this genetic boundary, which suggests that natural maintenance of genetic connectivity should reduce, rather than intensify, any current degree of genetic isolation or associated demographic risks.

Acknowledgments

We thank the British Columbia Habitat Conservation Trust Foundation, Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks, Pope and Young Club, and Wyoming Governor’s Big Game License Coalition, for providing funds to complete this project. We thank the many state and provincial agencies, universities, agency staff, and hunters, for collecting and providing genetic samples. We also thank J. Gude, D. S. Rogers, and two anonymous reviewers, for helpful reviews of this manuscript.
SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available at Journal of Mammalogy online.

Supplementary Data SD1.—Minimum spanning tree haplotype network showing 37 mitochondrial genome haplotypes from 60 moose sampled in western North America, 2004–2016.

Supplementary Data SD2.—Phylogenetic trees based on 22 control region-only haplotypes from 60 moose sampled across western North America, 2004–2016. Numeric mitogenome haplotypes are shown at branch tips. Approximate years before present (BP) are shown along x-axis for both mutation rates. Numbers at the branch nodes represent: A) posterior probabilities (above; only values above 0.50 are shown) and percentage of support from 500 bootstraps (below; only values above 50% shown); B) 95% credible intervals for divergence times assuming a mutation rate of 3.14 × 10⁻⁷ substitutions per year; and C) 95% credible intervals for divergence times assuming a mutation rate of 3.93 × 10⁻⁷ substitutions per year.

Supplementary Data SD3.—Multidimensional scaling plot based on pairwise FST estimates of genetic distance for microsatellite data among 26 sampled populations of moose, western North America, 2004–2016.

Supplementary Data SD4.—Quantities and locations of fossil remains in the FAUNMAP database for four North American cervid genera, and for which minimum age estimates were ≥ 15,000 years before present.
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